#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

Office of Structural Materials

Quality Assurance and Source Inspection

Bay Area Branch 690 Walnut Ave.St. 150 Vallejo, CA 94592-1133 (707) 649-5453 (707) 649-5493



Contract #: 04-0120F4

Cty: SF/ALA Rte: 80 PM: 13.2/13.9

File #: 69.21

# WELDING WITNESS REPORT

Resident Engineer: Pursell, Gary **Report No:** WWR-000122 Address: 333 Burma Road **Date Inspected:** 02-Mar-2007

City: Oakland, CA 94607

**OSM Arrival Time:** 800 **Project Name:** SAS Superstructure **OSM Departure Time:** 1730 Prime Contractor: American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV

**Contractor:** Zhenhua Port Machinery Company, Ltd (ZPMC), Changxing Island **Location:** Shanghai, China

Witness:	Procee	dure Qualif	ication Record	Welder Qual	ification	Fracture Critical	
	Weldi	ng	NDT	Mechnical T	esting, describe:		
Index Lot #: B71-001-07a				Witness Lot #: B60-011-07			
<b>Bridge No:</b> 34-0006			<b>Component:</b> Bid 52, 55 Tower and Girder				
Welder:	Jiang Xi	an Hu		ID #:	N/A		
Joint Descri	ption:	B-U2a-GF		N/A	WPS ID #:	PWS-B-T-2231-F-1	N/A
<b>Base Metal:</b>		A-709-50F2	2	N/A	PQR ID#:	HP200706	N/A
Thickness:		26 mm		N/A	<b>Process:</b>	FCAW-G	N/A
Electrode Spec/Class: AWS-5.20/E71-T1			N/A	<b>Positions:</b>	1-G	N/A	
<b>Backing Ma</b>	terial:	A-709-50T	2	N/A	CWI:	Liu Liu	N/A
Average An	ıps:			N/A	<b>AWS Code:</b>	AWS D1.5 2002	N/A
Average Vol	lts:			N/A	<b>Applicable Sec:</b>	AWS 5.12.1	N/A
Travel Spee	d:			N/A	Heat Input:		N/A
Preheat:				N/A	-		

### **Summary of Items Observed:**

The Caltrans Quality Assurance Inspector (QA) reviewed Radiographic Testing (RT) film for the above procedure. QA observed approximately 80mm of lack of fusion in the beginning of the weld joint. However, after review of the film, it was determined that this indication was in the "discard" are of the test plate. The film appears to comply with the contract requirements.

# **Summary of Conversations:**

QA spoke with ZPMC Quality Control Engineer Lu Jian Hua regarding the lack of fusion indication observed. Mr. Lu stated they would note the indication on the RT report and indicated that the weld was acceptable, as the indication is in the "discard" area of the test plate.

is in general conformance with the contract requirements.

**Observed welding, testing or results:** is not in conformance with the contract requirements.

## **Comments**

This report is for the purpose of determining conformance with the contract documents and is not for the purpose of making repair or fit for purpose recommendations. Should you require recommendations concerning repairs or remedial efforts please contact Mazen Wahbeh, (818) 292-0659, who represents the Office of Structural Materials for your project.

# WELDING WITNESS REPORT

(Continued Page 2 of 2)

Inspected By:	McClary,David	Quality Assurance Inspector
Reviewed By:	Lowry,Patrick	QA Reviewer